Public Works (1908) Department.

G.O., No 216—I

DATED 1-6-1908.

The Notes in this G.O. have been printed.

[Despatch Abstract]

Ferries in the Periyakulam Taluk, Madura District

Directing, with remarks, that a notification declaring certain — as subject to the provisions of the Canals and Public Ferries Act and fixing the Scales of tolls leviable at those places, be published in the Fort St. George Gazette

CS

[P. W. 9. 2,000—8-7-07.]

Indexed 3/6/08

B 2/6/08

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

IRRIGATION.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Recd.	1908.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 216 I., 1st June 1908.

Ferries in the Periyakulam Ṭaluk, Madura District

Directing, with remarks, that a notification declaring certain — as subject to the provisions of the Canals and Public Ferries Act and fixing the scales of tolls leviable at those places, be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette.*

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.

Read—the following papers:—

I

G.O., No. 779 I., dated 10th October 1898.
,, ,, 498 I. ,, 28th April 1902.
,, ,, 1380 I. ,, 14th December 1904.
,, ,, 843 I. ,, 11th September 1905.

II

From the	Superintending Engineer,	VI Circle,	dated	30th July	1906,	No. 447-C.E.
To the	,,	VI ,,	,,	13th August	,,	,, 2928.
From the	,,	VI ,,	,,	7th Feb.	1907,	,, 103-C.E.
To the	,,	VI ,,	,,	16th ,,	,,	,, 707.
From the	,,	VI ,,	,,	25th ,,	,,	,, 133-C.E.
To the	,,	VI ,,	,,	26th March	,,	,, 1238.
From the	,,	VI ,,	,,	29th April	,,	,, 253-C.E.
To the	,,	VI ,,	,,	13th May	,,	,, 1813.
From the	,,	VI ,,	,,	15th June	,,	,, 333-C.E.
To the	,,	VI ,,	,,	24th ,,	,,	,, 2478.
From the	,,	VI ,,	,,	30th Oct.	,,	,, 592-C.E.
To the	,,	VI ,,	,,	19th Nov.	,,	,, 4598.
,,	,,	VI ,,	,,	11th Feb.	1908,	,, 677.
From the	,,	VI ,,	,,	20th March	,,	,, 159-C.E.

III

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

In G.O., No. 779 I., dated 10th October 1898, the Government accepted the necessity for providing means for crossing the Suriliyar and Vairavanar rivers at the marginally-noted four places and an estimate, amounting to Rs. 3,400, for constructing four second-class ballacuts was accordingly sanctioned in G.O., No. 498 I., dated 28th April 1902.

Kilagudalur.	Chinnamanur.
Cumbum.	Kottur.

2. In the first of the letters quoted above the Superintending Engineer requested sanction to levy fees in accordance with the scale obtaining at the Kunnur ferry under the District Board, Madura, but as there was nothing in the Superintending Engineer's letter to indicate that the Collector of the District had been consulted and as Kamayagoundanpatty was proposed as a place of crossing in lieu of Kottur as sanctioned in G.O., No. 779 I., dated 10th October 1898, the Superintending Engineer was requested to obtain the views of the Collector in the matter.

3. After some correspondence on the subject, the Superintending Engineer with the last of the letters quoted above submits in consultation with the Collector a notification declaring the following four crossings as public ferries under Act II of 1890 and a table of fees proposed to be charged; it is recommended that the notification and the table of fees be approved and published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*:—

Kilagudalur.	Chinnamanur.
Cumbum.	Kamayagoundanpatty.

4. In accordance with G.O., No. 779 I., dated 10th October 1898, the fees realised will be credited to Government and the Public Works Department will maintain the ballacuts and provide the establishment required to work them.

C. A. Smith,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

4th May 1908.

Order—No. 216 I., dated 1st June 1908.

The recommendations of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation are approved and the following notification will be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*:—

NOTIFICATION.

Under section 8 of the Canals and Public Ferries Act II of 1890 His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to declare the undermentioned ferries in the Periyákulam taluk, Madura district, to be subject to the provisions of the said Act with effect from the date of this notification:—

Taluk.	Village.	Name of the ferry.	Limits of the ferry.
Periyakulam	....	Between Kullappa Goundanpatty and Gudalur.	400 yards on either bank.
		Between Cumbum and Suruliapatty ..	Do.
		Between Cumbum and Kamayagoundanpatty.	Do.
		Between Chinnamanur and Markayankottai.	Do.

Under section 10 of the said Act he is further pleased to fix the following scales of tolls leviable upon passengers, animals, vehicles and goods conveyed across the said ferries:—

	RS.	A.	P.
1. Four-wheeled carriage on springs with the men or animals drawing it, driver and passengers.	0	4	0
2. Two-wheeled carriage on springs with men or animals drawing it, driver and passengers.	0	2	0
3. Conveyance without springs with men or animals drawing it with load.	0	2	0
4. Conveyance without springs with men or animals drawing it *without* load.	0	1	0
5. Buffalo or bullock or other animals with load	0	0	6
6. Do. do. without load	0	0	6
7. Horse, mule, pony or ass with one attendant	0	1	0
8. Camel laden	0	1	0
9. Camel unladen	0	1	0
10. Palanquin, dhooly, tonjon with 8 or more bearers ...	0	4	0
11. Palanquin, dhooly, less than 8 bearers	0	3	0
12. Man or woman or child, 6 years and above	0	0	1
13. Man or woman with load	0	0	3
14. Each sheep, goat or pig	0	0	1
15. Every 60 lb. of luggage or goods not forming part of a vehicle, animal's or cooly's load.	0	0	2

Explanation 1.—A bandy with one or more passengers or with things with or without passengers will be considered as loaded.

Explanation 2.—If the things in a bandy be less than 1 cwt. in weight and there be no passenger with it, the bandy will not be considered as loaded.

(True Extract.)

C. A. Smith,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
,, Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
,, Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
,, Local and Municipal Department.
,, Revenue Department.
Notification to the Press.

Forwarded to the

(By Order.)

Deputy Registrar.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
(IRRIGATION BRACNH).

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 216 I., DATED 1ST JUNE 1908.

[SUBJECT.—*Fixing the scale of fees proposed to be levied at the crossings of the Suriliyar river, Madura district, where ferry ballacuts have been provided.*]

From the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 30th July 1906, *No.* 447 *C.E.*

Under Secretary—

A draft order is kept below, which, if approved, may be forwarded to the Revenue and Local and Municipal Departments for acceptance before circulation to His Excellency.

M.A.
8-3-07.

T. W. S. SMYTH.
9-3-07.

Why does the draft note by Chief Engineer for Irrigation contain no reference to the Government Order sanctioning the provision of the ballacuts and the collection of fees?

G.O., No. 498 I., dated 20th April 1902.

C. A. SMITH.
9-3-07.

Joint Secretary—

Reference was not made in Chief Engineer for Irrigation's note to the order sanctioning the provision of the ballacuts, as of the four places mentioned by the Superintending Engineer, three are identical with those mentioned in the order, and as regards the fourth place, the order shows Kottur, while the Superintending Engineer's letter mentions Kamaya Goundanpatty. A careful search has been made to see if any orders were passed sanctioning a ballacut for the latter place, but none can be traced. A draft memorandum to the Superintending Engineer is submitted for approval.

M.A.

B.G.R.
21-3-07.

The Government Order to which I referred is No. 3712, Mis., dated 13th September 1898, communicated with G.O., No. 779 I., dated 10th October 1898. Look at Board's Proceedings therein referred to.

C.A.S.
22-3-07.

Joint Secretary through Under Secretary—

The four places mentioned in this Board's Proceedings also are identical with those mentioned in G.O., No. 498 I., dated 28th April 1902. The crossing at Kamaya Goundanpatty included in the Superintending Engineer's list is not mentioned in the Board's Proceedings.

The draft memorandum to the Superintending Engineer is, therefore, resubmitted.

M.A.
24-3-07.

[Memorandum to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 26th March 1907, No. 1238.]

From the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 29th April 1907, *No.* 253 *C.E.*

Under Secretary—

The Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, states that the site of the ferry ballacut has been changed from Kottur to Kamaya Goundanpatty at the instance of the Collector who is reported to have asked for the provision of ballacuts "at the points where there are paths leading to the river". It is not, however, mentioned whether the new site as well as the other three sites have been notified in the *Fort St. George Gazette* under section 8 of the Canal and Public Ferries Act of 1890. A draft memorandum to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, asking for this information is submitted for approval.

M.A.
8-5-07.

Issued as Memorandum No. 1813, dated 13th May 1907.

From the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 15th June 1907, *No.* 333 *C.E.*
To the ,, *VI* ,, ,, 21*st* ,, ,, ,, 2478.
From the ,, *VI* ,, ,, 30*th Oct.* ,, ,, 592 *C.E.*

Under Secretary—

With the last of his letters read above the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, submits a draft notification received from the Collector of Madura in connection with the proposal of levying fees at the four ferries on the Suruliyar river and requests that the ferries may be declared to be "public ferries" under the Act with effect from the 1st January 1908. The Superintending Engineer in his letter No. 447 C.E., dated 30th July 1906, proposed to adopt at these four ferries the same scale of fees obtaining at the Kunnur ferry under the District Board and the Collector accepted this proposal. A comparison, however, of the table now received with that received originally discloses the fact that the rates are not uniform and differ in certain respects. A draft memorandum to the Superintending Engineer for explaining the difference is therefore submitted for approval.

S.D.
15-11-07.

The draft order will have to be accepted in Revenue and Local and Municipal I think, so the case must be complete; it has been throughout dealt with in a way to cause the maximum of correspondence.

T.W.S.S.
16-11-07.

H.E.C.
16-11-07.

Issued as Memorandum No. 459 8, dated 19th November 1907.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 10*th Feb.* 1908, *No.* 677.
From the „ *VI* „ „ 20*th Mar.* „ „ 159 *C.E.*

Under Secretary—

The Superintending Engineer forwards a letter from the Sub-Collector, Dindigul, who states that the difference noticed in this office Memorandum No. 4598, dated 19th November 1907, is due to the Kunnur ferry rates having been altered, at the instance of the Tahsildar of Periyakulam to correspond with those prevailing in the Trichinopoly district, but that on reconsideration he thinks that for the sake of uniformity the Kunnur ferry rates alone should be charged at the four crossings in question. The Collector agrees with him and the Superintending Engineer accordingly submits a draft notification and a revised table of fees. A draft order is submitted which, if approved, may be forwarded to Revenue and Local and Municipal for acceptance.

S.D.
1-5-08.

T.W.S.S.
4-5-08.

C.A.S.
4-5-08.

To the Revenue Department
„ *Local and Municipal Department* } *u.o.*

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Under Secretary—

The draft may, it is submitted, be accepted in Revenue and forwarded to Local and Municipal.

B.K.
9-5-08.

Secretary—

The Public Works Department office note above is not correct. It was not the Kunnur ferry rates which were altered to correspond with those prevailing in Trichinopoly, but the rates proposed to be charged at the new ferries. The Collector now agrees that the rates should be those prevailing at the Kunnur ferry. The draft may, I submit, be accepted in Revenue.

F. Noyce.
9-5-08.

Do not these orders about ferries issue in Revenue? I think it would be well that all such orders should issue in one department if possible.

A.G.C.
11-5-08.

Under Secretary—

Please see a bundle of Government orders issued in the Revenue Department put up separately below. It appears that where under section 11 of the Canal and Public Ferries Act of 1890 the management of a ferry has been or is* assigned to a Taluk Board all orders relating to such ferry are issued in the Revenue Department with the concurrence of Local and Municipal. As the ferries now under consideration are provided by the Public Works Department as a Public Works charge—*vide* Revenue G.O., No. 3712, Mis., dated 13th September 1898, filed in Public Works G.O., No. 779 I., dated 10th October 1898,—the order is proposed to issue in Public Works Department (Irrigation). Submitted that if Public Works Department (Irrigation) have no objection all orders relating to ferries may henceforth be issued in Revenue.

* G.O., No. 2973, Mis., dated 5th December 1907.

B.K.
13-5-08.

Secretary—

Submitted that this may be done.

F.N.
13-5-08.

Does Public Works Department (Irrigation) concur in the above view?

A.G.C.
14-5-08.

To the Public Works Department (Irrigation) u.o.

Public Works Department
(Irrigation Branch).

Under Secretary—

It seems somewhat anomalous that the Public Works Department should provide and maintain a ferry, collect tolls and credit the receipts in their accounts and that the orders should be issued in another department. The only cases I have come across previously to my remembrance are those where the Public Works Department simply provide the ferry and repair it.

If the Revenue Secretary's proposal is not concurred in any papers bearing on the subject will be got up, but I do not think any were forthcoming in a recent case when the Telegraph department asked for exemptions from tolls which file was transferred to Local and Municipal.

T.W.S.S.
15-5-08.

As the previous orders 779 I., dated 10th October 1898, and 498 I., dated 28th April 1902, have been issued in this department will it not rather complicate matters if the present order issues in Revenue.

However I have no objection to the proposal of Revenue.

I presume the order will be redrafted in Revenue and sent to Public Works Department (Irrigation) to accept.

C.A.S.
15-5-08.

To the Revenue Department u.o.

Revenue Department.

In the light of the notes in Public Works Department (Irrigation), the proposal that the draft should issue in Revenue is withdrawn, and the file will be forwarded to Local and Municipal u.o.

A.G.C.
18-5-08.

To the Local and Municipal Department u.o.

Local and Municipal Department.

Accepted.

C. A. Souter.
21-5-08.

To the Public Works Department (Irrigation) u.o.

Public Works Department
(Irrigation Branch).

Under Secretary—

Submitted that the draft order may now be circulated to His Excellency the Governor.

G.V.
21-5-08.

B.G.R.

T.W.S.S.
22-5-08.

Note for His Excellency—

The file relates to an order declaring certain ferries in Madura district to be subject to the provision of the Canals and Public Ferries Act and fixing the scale for levying fees thereat.

The ferries are across the Suruliyar and Vairavanar rivers and were necessitated by the constant flow of Periyar water in these rivers.

For this reason they are to be maintained by the Public Works Department. Draft order accepted in Revenue and Local and Municipal is submitted for approval.

C.A.S.
22-5-08.

Approved.

A. L[awley].
24-5-08.

Under Secretary—

Submitted that the draft order may now issue.

G.V.
25-5-08.

B.G.R.

[Issued as G.O., No. 216 I., dated 1st June 1908.]

1000—18 8 06.

CURRENT FILE.

1

Stock Form No. 141
10(0)-29-6-05.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No. 4157

ENCLOSURES. { Plans No.
1. { Others No.

Total

SPARE COPIES.

Received 1. 8. 1906.

Registered 3. 8. 1906.

Passed on by Registering Clerk ...
" " U. R. Clerk ...
" " Referencer ...
" " Record Superintendent.
" " Drafting Officer ...

Subject.

Iron ballacuts supplied for crossing the Suruliyar river in Madura Dt at certain places:- Requesting sanction to levy fees at certain rates reg-

---

No. 447 C2

Station, Trichinopoly. *Dated the* 30th July 1906.

From (Name) S. B. M U R R A Y Esquire.,

(Designation) Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

To ~~The Chief Engineer~~ for Irrigation, ~~The Secretary~~ *to the Government of Madras,*

Public Works Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that this Department has supplied iron ballacuts for crossing the Suriliyar River in the Madura District at the following places.

(1). Kilagudalur.
(2). Cumbam.
(3). Kamaya Goundanpatty.
(4). Chinnamanore.

2. The Executive Engineer proposes to levy fees at each of these places in accordance with the scale obtaining at the Kunnur Ferry under the District Board, Madura, & I request that sanction may be obtained accordingly.

3. A table of the fees levied at the Kunnur Ferry is

annexed

Papers are must get all as ... [margin note, partly illegible]

annexed for information.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. M. Murray

Superintending Engineer,

VI Circle.

3

4 No 2928
13.8.06

P.W.D. Irrigation.

Memo.

With reference to his letter No. 447 C.E., dated 30th July 1906, the Superintending Engineer VI Circle is requested to be so good as to obtain the views of the Collector on the proposal of the Executive Engineer, Madura Division, therein referred to,.

~~2. The attention of the Superintending Engineer is drawn to the rules approved in G.O, No.6 I dated 6th January 1888.~~

T.W.S.
10/8/06.

7 lg K

DESPATCHED
DATE 13.8.1906
ENCLOS..................

To

The Superintending Engineer
VI Circle.

4

Stock Form No. 141
10,000—29-6-05.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

5

CURRENT No. 952

ENCLOSURES. { Plans No.
Others No.

Total

SPARE COPIES.

Received .... 190 .
Registered 9-2- 1907 .

Passed on by Registering Clerk ...
" " U. R. Clerk ...
" " Referencer ...
" " Record Superintendent.
" " Drafting Officer ...

JOINT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
9 FEB 1907
P.W.D., IRRIGATION BRANCH

Subject.

Suriliyar River:- Requesting that the scale of fees levied, by the Dt. Board at Kunnur Ferry, may be recommended for the approval of Government in the case of the —

No. 103 CE

Station, Trichinopoly. *Dated the* 7th February 1907.

From (Name) S. D. P E A R S, Esquire.,

(Designation) Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

To The Chief Engineer for Irrigation, ~~The Secretary~~ *to the Government of Madras,*

Public Works Department.

Sir,

P. 4 of C-f
Paper

With reference to your Memo. No. 2928 dated 13th --- August 1906, I have the honour to inform you that the Collector of Madura agrees that the scale of fees levied by the District Board at Kunnur Ferry forwarded to you with this office No. 447-C.E., dated 30th July 1906, may be adopted for the Public Works Department ferries established in the crossings of the Suriliyar Valley. I --

P. 14 of C-f

9/2/07 9/2/07

request that the above scale may be recommended for the approval of Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. D. Pears.

Superintending Engineer,

VI Circle.

No 707
16.2.07

PAGE 7

pp 5-6 c.f.

DESPATCHED
DATE 16.2. 1907
ENCLOS.

MEMO.

Reference: Letter from the S.E. VI circle dated 7-2-07 No. 103 C.E.

The Superintending Engineer is requested to be so good as to forward a copy of the Madura Collector's letter agreeing to the proposal that the scale of fees levied by the Dt. Board at the Kunnur Ferry may be adopted on the P.W.D. ferries established at the crossings of the Suriliyar Valley. In this connection, the Superintending Engineer's attention is drawn to this office Cir. Memo No. 138/0 dated 13-7-05.

flag C

[signature]
15/2/07

To

The Superintending Engineer,
VIth Circle.

13.2.07

Stock Form No. 141
10,000-29-6-05.

7

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PAGE 8

CURRENT No. 1412

ENCLOSURES. 1 { Plans No. / Others No. 1

Total 1

SPARE COPIES.

Received } 27.2.07 190 .
Registered } 190 .

Passed on by Registering Clerk ...
" " U. R. Clerk ...
" " Referencer ...
" " Record Superintendent.
" " Drafting Officer ...

Subject.

Scale of fees to be levied at the ferries established in the crossings in the Suruliyar Valley — Forwarding copy of Collector's letter on the subject of —

No. 133 CR

Station, Trichinopoly. *Dated the* 25th February 1907.

From (Name) S. D. P E A R S, Esquire.,

(Designation) Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

To *The Chief Engineer* for Irrigation, ~~*The Secretary*~~ *to the Government of Madras,*

Public Works Department.

Sir,

Papers p 7 ff

p 10 ff

With reference to your No.707 dated 16th February 1907, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of - the Collector's D.Dis.No.225-Rev. of 07, dated 10th --- January 1907 on the subject of the scale of fees to be levied at the ferries established in the crossings in -

the

27/2/07 28/2/07

the Suruliar Valley.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. D. Sears.

Superintending Engineer,

VI Circle.

PV

3.
Rec 5/14/08

9. PAGE 10

Copy of communication D.Dis.No.2522/Rev. of 1906, dated 26th December 1906, from the Divisional Officer, - Dindigul, to the Collector of Madura.

With reference to your R.O.C.No.4325/Gl. of 1906, dated 26th October 1906, I have the honour to state that the scale of fees levied by the District Board at the -- Kunnur Ferry may be adopted for the D.P.W. new ferries established in the crossings in the Suruliar Valley.

2. The enclosure is herewith returned.

D.Cis.No.225/Rev. of 07, dated 10th January 1907.

Communicated to the Executive Engineer, Madura --- Division, for information with reference to his letter No.2120/M dated 19th October 1906.

Sd/:- M. Sahetheram,

For Collector.

(True Copy)

S. D. Pears

Superintending Engineer,

VI Circle.

O.P.

10

11

No 1238
26.3.07

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
IRRIGATION BRANCH.

Memo

With reference to the correspondence ending with letter No 133 C.E. d/ 25th February 1907 from the Supg: Engineer, 6th Circle, the Chief Engineer for Irrgn has the honour to observe that of the four places at which, it is stated, ballacuts have been provided, three are identical with those mentioned in G.O No 498 I d/ 28th April 1902 But as regards the 4th place, instead of Kottur mentioned in the order, the Supg Engineer's letter shews Kamaya Goundan patty. The Supg Engr is therefore requested to be so good as to explain the cause of this variation

Page 8 C file

DESPATCHED
DATE 26.3. 1907
ENCLOS..................

Flag K

No 447 C.E. d/ 30th Jul, 06 p.i.cf

J.W.S.

25/3/07

25/3/07

To. The Supg Engr
6th Circle

21.3.07

IV 11

Stock Form No. 141
10,000-29-6-05.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No. ~~253 C E~~ 2866

ENCLOSURES. Plans No. -
Others No. 1
Total 1

Received 3. 5. 1907.

Registered " 190 .

SPARE COPIES.

Passed on by Registering Clerk ...
" " U. R. Clerk ...
" " Referencer ...
" " Record Superintendent.
" " Drafting Officer ...

Subject

Ballacut. Informing that the site of the 4th — has been changed at the instance of the Collector

---

D.E.I.

No. 253 C E

Papers.

Station, Trichinopoly. Dated the 29 April 1907.

From (Name) C. W. Wood Esquire.,

(Designation) Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

To The Chief Engineer ~~The Secretary~~ for Irrigation to the Government of Madras,

Public Works Department.

30.4.07

Sir,

P. 11 y C.f

With reference to your memo. No. 1238 dated 26th March 1907, I have the honour to state that the Executive Engineer, Madura Division reports that the site for the fourth ballacut was changed from Kottur to Kamayagoundanpatti at the instance of

13/5/07

12

13

of the Collector of Madura, who wished to have ballacents provided at the points where there are paths leading to the river. A copy of the Collector's letter received from the Executive Engineer is enclosed.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

C. W. Wood
Superintending Engineer,
VI Circle,

1003
26. 4/07

5
Dec 5/14/08

13

14

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.
3-MAY 1907
No. 2866

Copy of letter from A. G. Cardew, Esquire., Collector of Madura, to the Executive Engineer, Madura Dn. No. R. Dis. 704. Rev. of 1902, dated 17th June 1902.

In reply to your letter No. 413/M. dated 5th May 1902, I have the honour to state that the four second class ballacuts should be placed at the undermentioned points where there are paths leading to the river.

1. Between Gollappagoundanpatty and Gudalur.
2. Between Cumbum and Surulyar.
3. Between Cumbum and Kamayagoundanpatty.
4. Between Chinnamanur and Markayankottai.

(Sd.) R. McCharzal,
Ex. Engineer, Madura Dn.

(True copy)

C. W. Wood
Superintending Engineer,
IV Circle.

27.4.07

14 ~~15~~

Public Works Department,
Irrigation Branch.

No 1813
13. 5. 07

[C No 2866]

PP 12413 gef

DESPATCHED
DATE 13.5 1907
ENCLOS.

Memo.

With reference to letter No. 253 C.E. dy. 29. 4. 07 from the Supg: Engr:, VI Circle, the Chief Engr: for Irrign has the honour to observe that it is not clear whether the change of site for the ferry ballacut from Kotturu to Kamayagoundana-patti have been notified in the Fort St. George Gazette ~~along with the other sites for the proposed ferries~~. If this has not been done, the Supg: Engr: is requested to submit a draft notification in consultation with the Collector for publication in the Gazette under section

the sites for the proposed ferries and

~~Kilagndalur.~~
~~Cambum.~~
~~Chinnamanur~~

INDEXED

section 8 of the Canal and Public Ferries Act II of 1890

[signature]

10/5/07.

flag W

To The Supdg Engr, VI Circle

[initials]
8.5.07

17 16

Stock Form No. 141
10,000 - 29-6-05.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No. 3827

ENCLOSURES. Plans No.
Others No.
Total

SPARE COPIES.

Received 19. 6. 190 .

Registered 190 .

Passed on by Registering Clerk ...
" " U. R. Clerk ...
" " Referencer ...
" " Record Superintendent.
" " Drafting Officer ...

Subject.

~~Ferry~~ Ferry Ballacuts — informs that the sites at which it is proposed to maintain — have not been notified in Ft. St. George Gazette & that the Ex. Engr. Madura has requested the collector to furnish him with a draft notification

No. 333 C.E.

J. E. I.

Station, Trichinopoly. Dated the 15th June 1907.

Rw. 19/6/07

From (Name) C. W. W O O D Esquire.,

(Designation) Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.,

To The Chief Engineer for Irrigation, ~~The Secretary~~ to the Government of Madras,

Public Works Department.

Sir,

P. 15-9 Cf Papers

With reference to your Memo.No.1613 dated 15th May 1907, I have the honour to state that the Executive --- Engineer Madura Division, reports that the sites at which it is proposed to maintain ferry ballacuts have not been notified in the Fort Saint George Gazette and that he -- has requested the Collector to furnish him with a draft

A 9/7/07

17 ~~18~~

2.

Notification under Section 8 of the Canals and Public Ferries Act II of 1890. It will be submitted as soon as received.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. W. Wood

Superintending Engineer,

VI Circle.

7
5/4/08

18 ~~19~~

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
IRRIGATION BRANCH.

[C. No. 3827.]

No. 2478

P.M.F.S.

Memo.

With reference to his letter No. 333 C.E. d/ 15.6.07, the Supg. Engr.:, VI Circle, is informed that the proposal to levy fees at the sites for the proposed ferries cannot be submitted to Govt: until the notification declaring these sites as ferries is published in the Fort St. George Gazette under section 8 of the Canal and Ferry Act II of 1890. The Supg. Engr.: is requested to see that the requisite notification is submitted to this Office as soon as possible.

Hag W

DESPATCHED 21/6 1907

INDEXED

To The Supg. Engr.:, VI Circle

19/6/07 19/6/07

21/6

D.E.S.

19
20

Madras Stock Form No. 141
10,000—16-11-05.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 7055

Enclosures. Plans No.
Others No. 1
Total 1

Received 31 - 10 1907.

Registered Do 190 .

Spare copies.

Passed on by Registering Clerk ...
" " U. R. Clerk ...
" " Referencer ...
" " Record Superintendent.
" " Drafting Officer ...

Subject.

Ferries on the Suruliyar River – Periyakulam Taluk – Submitting draft notification from the Collector of Madura in respect of levying fees at —

No. 592 C.E.

Station, Trichinopoly. *Dated the* 30 October 1907.

From (Name) C. W. W O O D, Esquire.,

(Designation) Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

To *The Chief Engineer* for Irrigation, ~~*The Secretary*~~ *to the Government of Madras,*

Public Works Department.

S. C. B

Sir,

PP 17-18 Cf. In continuation of this office letter No.533-C.E., dated 15th June 1907 and with reference to your memo. P 199 Cf No.2478 dated 24th idem, I have the honour to forward the accompanying draft Notification, received from the Collector of Madura through the Executive Engineer of the Division, in connection with the proposal of levying fees at 4 P.W.D. ferries on the Suruliyar River. ---

Rev 31/10/07

[illegible] 1/11/07

The date from which the ferries should be brought under the Canals and Public Ferries Act II of 1890 is left -- blank in the draft Notification submitted. They may - be declared to be "public ferries" under the Act with effect from the 1st January next year.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. W. Wood

Superintending Engineer,

VI Circle.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

IRRIGATION BRANCH.

C° 7055/06

Enc 2 tables

No 4598 of 19. 11. 07

S. E. VI.

His No. 592 C-2 of 30-10-07

In his letter No. 447 C-E of 30.7.06. the S.E proposed to adopt the scale of fees obtaining at the Kunnur ferry under the Dt Board for the four ferries across the Suriliyar River mentioned in para 1 of that letter & this proposal was accepted by the Govt [p 10. C. f.]. A comparison of the table now submitted by the S.E with the letter quoted above, with that submitted with his letter No. 447 C-E. dated 30.7.06, discloses the fact [p 22. C. f.] [, however,] that the rates ~~are not uniform &~~ differ in certain respects [p. 3. C. f.] X from those previously approved. ~~The S. E. is~~

INDEXED

~~thereon, requesting him to explain the difference.~~

~~2. The two tables are forwarded for reference & return.~~

It is stated that the draft notification has been received from the Collector through the Exec Engr.

An explanation of the reasons for departing from the scale originally approved with copies of the correspondence with the Collr should be submitted. This should obviously have been sent with the revised proposals.

2nd 15/11/06

[initials]
16/11/07

H.M.
16/11/07

DESPATCHED
DATE 19/11/1907
ENCLOS.........

E. I. FORM No. 43—1,000—18-10-05. 23

REMINDER.

MEMORANDUM No 677

The undersigned has the honor to request the Superintending Engineer, Sixth Circle, to be good enough to expedite his reply to the reference noted below.

(By Order.)

OK.

Asst. Chief Engr. for Irrigation.

CHEPAUK,
11 February 1908.

No. 4598 dated 19th Novr. 07

Ferries on the Suriliyar river, Madura Dt.

Pointing out that the proposed scale of fees at ______ differs from that originally approved and requesting to explain the difference.

DESPATCHED
DATE 11. 2. 1908
ENCLOS.................

INDEXED.

To

The Superintending Engineer,
VI Circle.

KuB.
6.2.08

24 25

Stock Form No. 141
10,000—16-11-06.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No. 2125

ENCLOSURES. Plans No.
Others No. 2

Received 24.3 1908.

Registered " 190 .

Total 2

SPARE COPIES.

Passed on by Registering Clerk ...
" " U. R. Clerk ...
" " Referencer ...
" " Record Superintendent.
" " Drafting Officer ...

Memo 1153 / 4-4-04

Subject.

Ferries across the Suruliyar River — Forwarding copy of Subcollector's letter explaining why it was proposed to levy at the new — fees different from those already in force

---

No. 159 66

S. C. 13

Station, Trichinopoly. Dated the 20 March 1908.

From (Name) J. H. Medlicott Esquire,

(Designation) Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

To ~~The Secretary~~ The Chief Engineer for Irrigation, to the Government of Madras,

Public Works Department.

Sir,

With reference to your Memo.No.4598 dated 19th November 1907, I have the honour to forward herewith a letter received from the Sub-Collector, Dindigul through the Collector of -- Madura, which explains why it was proposed to levy at the -- new Suruliyar ferries , fees different from those already -- in force in respect of the existing ferry at Kunnur across - the river. It will be observed from the paper above referred to that both the Sub-Collector and the Collector now consider that the Kunnur ferry rates should be levied at the proposed new ferries.

2.

PAGE 26 25

2. A revised draft notification has accordingly been prepared and is herewith submitted for favour of publication in the Fort Saint George Gazette.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A Sankaranarayana Ayyar

For Superintending Engineer,

VI Circle.

15

PAGE 27. 26

Copy of communication No.D.Dis.298/Rev. of 1908 -- dated 9th February 1908 from the Sub-Collector, Dindigul to the Collector of Madura.

In returning herewith your N.R.O.C.14/Gl. of 1908 dated 8th January 1908, I have the honour to state that it appears from the records in this office, that Mr. -- Burn on the recommendation of the Tahsildar of Periyakulam in his D.Dis.1936/Rev. of 1907 dated 12th September 1907 and 1503 dated 17th July 1907 altered the rates with reference to the rates prevailing in the Trichinopoly District. But I think that for the sake of uniformity of charge, in the interests of the public the same rates as those prevailing at the Kunnur Ferry --- should be charged.

R.Dis.No.227/Rev. of 1908 dated 18-2-08.

Communicated to the Executive Engineer, Madura --- Division, for information with reference to his letter No.2432-M, dated 19th December 1907. The undersigned - agrees with the view of the Divisional Officer, Dindigul.

Sd/:- S.N.Rajachar,
For Collector.

No.174-S.E. d/4-3-08.

Copy forwarded to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, with reference to his No.5032 dated 28th November 1907.

The table shewing the scale of fees levied at the Kunnur ferry was sent with this office No.539-S.E., -- dated 23rd July 1906.

Sd/:- R.deChazal,
Ex:Engineer, Madura Division.

(True Copy)

A Sankaranarayana Aiyar

For Suptg:Engineer, VI Circle.

15

28 27

NOTIFICATION.

Under section 8 of the canals and Public Ferries Act II of 1890 His Excellency the Governor-in-Council is -- pleased to declare the undermentioned ferries in Periyakulam Taluk, Madura District to be subject to the provisions of the said Act with effect from ~~1st April 1908~~. the date of this notification

Taluk.	Village.	Name of the Ferry.	Limits of the -- Ferry.
Periyakulam		Between Kullappa Goundan patty and Gudalur.	400 yards on either bank.
		Between Cumbum & Suruliapatty.	-----Do------.
		Between Cumbum and Kamayagoundan-patty.	-----Do------
		Between Chinnamanur and Markayan-kottai.	-----Do------

Under Section 10 of the said Act he is further --- pleased to fix the following scales of tolls leviable upon passengers, animals, vehicles and goods conveyed across the said ferries.

		Rs. A. Ps.
1.	Four-wheeled carriage on springs with the men or animals drawing it, driver and - - passengers.	0--4--0.
2.	Two-wheeled carriage on springs with men or animals drawing it, driver and passengers.	0--2--0.
3.	Conveyance without springs with men or -- animals drawing it with load.	0--2--0.
4.	Conveyance without springs with men or animals drawing it <u>without</u> load.	0--1--0.
5.	Buffalo or bullock or other animals with load.	0--0--6.
6.	-----Do-----Do---- without load.	0--0--6.
7.	Horse, mule, pony or ass with one attendent ~~besides the river~~.	0--1--0.
8.	Camel laden.	0--1--0.
9.	Camel unladen.	0--1--0.
10.	Palanquin, Dhooly, Tonjon with 8 or more bearers.	0--4--0.
11.	-----Do----- less than 8 bearers.	0--3--0.
12.	Man or woman or child 6 years & above.	0--0--1.

13.

13. Man or woman with load. ... ... 0--0--3.

14. Each sheep, goat or pig. ... ... 0--0--1.

15. Every 60 lb. of luggage or goods not forming part of a vehicle, animal's or cooly's load. 0--0--2.

Explanation 1.- A bandy with one or more passengers or with things with or without passengers will be considered - as loaded.

Explanation 2.- If the things in a bandy be less than 1 Cwt. in weight and there be no passenger with it, the bandy will not be considered as loaded.

True Copy -

A Sankaranarayana Ayyar
for Supdg Engineer,
6th Circle

17/3

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRR...
24 MAR 1908
No. 2125

DC 5114/08

Declaring certain ferries in the Periakulam Taluk, Madura Dt, to be subject to the provisions of the Canals and Public Ferries Act and levying fees thereat.

CURRENT No. 5114

PASSED ON BY 21.6.08

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

IRRIGATION BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again.

C G.O. No 779 I of 10.10.98
E G.O. No 498 I of 28.4.02
C G.O. No 1350 I of 14.12.04
2 G.O. No 843 I of 11.9.05

Read also the following

From the Supg. Engineer, VI Circle,		No.447 C.E., dated 30th July 1906.
To	do	No.2928 dated 13th August 1906.
From	do	No.103 C.E., dated 7th February 07
To	do	No.707 dated 16th February 1907.
From	do	No.133 C.E., dated 25th Febry.07.
To	do	No.1238 dated 26th March 1907.
From	do	No.253 C.E., dated 29-4-1907.
To	do	No.1813 dated 13th May 1907.
From	do	No.333 C.E., dated 15th June 1907.
To	do	No.2478 dated 24th June 1907.
From	do	No.592 C.E., dated 30-10-07.
To	do	No.4598 dated 19-11-07.
To	do	No.677 dated 11-2-1908.
From	do	No.159 C.E., dated 20-3-08.

Quote

True Copy
for Supdg. Engineer
6th Circle

Print Notes

Note by the Chief Engineer
for Irrigation.

Flag C

In G.O., No.779-I, dated 10-10-98 the Government accepted the necessity for providing means for crossing the Suriliyar & Vairavanar rivers at the marginally noted four places and an estimate amounting to Rs3,400 for constructing four second class ballacuts was accordingly sanctioned in G.O., No.498 I, dated 28-4-02.

Kilagudalur.
Cambam.
Chinnamanore.
Kottur.

Flag E

pp1-2 CF

2. In the first of the letters quoted above the Supg. Engineer

Issue
26/5
D1
D 7/6/8

3P

requested sanction to levy fees in accordance with the scale obtaining at the Kunnur ferry under the District Board, Madura, but as there was nothing in the Supg. Engineer's letter to indicate that the Collector of the District had been consulted and as Kamaya Goundanpatty was proposed as a place of crossing in lieu of Kottur as sanctioned in G.O., No.779-I, dated 10-10-98, the Supg. Engineer was requested to obtain the views of the Collector in the matter.

p 4 CF

3. After some correspondence on the subject, the Supg. Engineer with the last of the letters quoted above submits in consultation with the Collector a notification declaring the following four crossings as public ferries under Act II of 1890 and a table of fees proposed to be charged; it is recommended that the notification and the table of fees be approved and published in the Fort Saint George Gazette:-

pp 25-26 CF

pp 28-29 CF

Kilagudalur.

Cambam.

Chinnamanur.

Kamaya Goundanpatty.

4. In accordance with G.O., No.779-I, dated 10-10-98, the fees realised will be credited to Government and the P.W.D. will maintain the ballacuts and provide the establishment required to work them.

E. & I. Memo No. 1153 / 4-4-04

Flag A

31

Order. No 216-I dt-6-08

The recommendations of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation are approved and the following notification will be published in the Fort Saint George Gazette.

24.5.08.

40

NOTIFICATION.

Under section 8 of the canals and Public Ferries Act II of 1890 His Excellency the Governor-in-Council is pleased to declare the undermentioned ferries in the Periyakulam Taluk, Madura District, to be subject to the provisions of the said Act with effect from the date of this notification.

Taluk.	Village.	Name of the Ferry.	Limits of the Ferry.
Periyakulam.		Between Kullappa Goundanpatty and Gudalur.	400 yards on either bank.
		Between Cumbum and Suruliapatty.	-------do-----
		Between Cumbum and Kamayagoundanpatty.	------do-----
		Between Chinnamanur and Markayankottai.	-------do-----

Under section 10 of the said Act he is further pleased to fix the following scales of tolls leviable upon passengers, animals, vehicles and goods conveyed across the said ferries.

	Rs--A--Ps.
1. Four-wheeled carriage on springs with the men or animals drawing it, driver and passengers. ------------------	0--4--0
2. Two-wheeled carriage on springs with men or animals drawing it, driver and passengers. -----------------------------	0--2--0
3. Conveyance without springs with men or animals drawing it with load. --	0--2--0
4. Conveyance without springs with men or animals drawing it without load. ------------------------------------	0--1--0
5. Buffalo or bullock or other animals with load. ----------	0--0--6
6. ---------do-------do-------------without load. --------------	0--0--6
7. Horse, mule, pony or ass with one attendent. ------------	0--1--0
8. Camel laden. --	0--1--0
9. Camel unladen. --	0--1--0
10. Palanquin, Dhooly, Tonjon with 8 or more bearers. --------	0--4--0
11. ----do---------- less than 8 bearers. -------------------	0--3--0

32

58

12. Man or woman or child 6 years and above. ---------------- 0--0--1

13. Man or woman with load. ---------------------------------- 0--0--3

14. Each sheep, goat or pig. ---------------------------------- 0--0--1

15. Every 60 lb. of luggage or goods not forming part of a vehicle, animal's or cooly's load. ----------------- 0--0--2

Explanation 1. A bandy with one or more passengers or with things with or without passengers will be considered as loaded.

Explanation 2. If the things in a bandy be less than 1 cwt. in weight and there be no passenger with it, the bandy will not be considered as loaded.

W. D.
4/5/08.

4/5

To

The Chief Engr. for Irrign.

,, Sup. Engineer, VI Circle.

,, Examiner, P.W. Accounts.

,, Local & Municipal Dept.

,, Revenue Department.

DESPATCHED
2 JUL 1908

Notification to the Press. Despd. 2.6.08

Ordinary Press

15/5/08

So - 1 - 6 SP

Insides - just recd 19/6